Interprofessional learning in clinical placements promotes students' competencies: a mixed-methods evaluation of the Lübeck interprofessional training ward

<u>Frederike Lüth</u>, Martina Traut, Max Moll, Birte Hildebrand, Niklas Gebauer, Nikolas von Bubnoff, Katrin Balzer, Stefanie Mentrup

> FINE Europe Conference 22nd March 2024









The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the content of the following presentation.



- IPE of healthcare professions is an important step towards interprofessional collaboration and personcentred care in clinical practice (Girard 2021)
- IPE should be integrated into placements of health professions students (Oosterom et al. 2019)



Photo: University of Lübeck



Interprofessional training wards (Oosterom et al. 2019)

- Students of different healthcare professions constitute an interprofessional team and are responsible for patient care and ward management
- Supervision by professionals from respective healthcare professions



Setting

- University Hospital of Schleswig-Holstein (Campus Lübeck, Germany), Department of Haematology and Oncology
- Spectrum of diseases: lymphomas and solid tumours

Target group

- Medical students (practical year)
- Nursing students (2nd and 3rd year)
- Physiotherapy students (2nd year)

Competencies

Developing skills for person-centred care and diagnostic and therapeutic interventions



Interprofessional collaboration (e.g. communication, reflection)



Clinical analysis and decisionmaking



Two introduction days

Structured daily routine

Interprofessional handover Interprofessional ward rounds Structured hospital admission procedures Scheduled and situationbased feedback

Participation in the lymphoma board (weekly)

Supervision (weekly)

Continuous supervision by professionals

22/03/2024



- Students' satisfaction
- Development of students' interprofessional learning outcomes (competencies for interprofessional collaboration)
- Evaluation of structures and processes of the LIPSTA concept



Quantitative strand

- Questionnaire
- **Content:** subjective competence development (Mahler et al. 2023) and satisfaction with the placement model
- Measurement time points: at the beginning (T0) and end of the placement (T1), three months post-placement (T2)
- Target group: Nursing, medicine, and physiotherapy students
- Data analysis: descriptive statistics using IBM SPSS (version 22)

Qualitative strand

- Semi-structured interviews
- **Content:** satisfaction and experiences with placement model, context factors of implementation, competence development
- Measurement time point: T1
- Target group: Nursing, medicine, and physiotherapy students and professionals
- Data analysis: content analysis (Mayring 2015) using MAXQDA 2022 (VERBI GmbH)



- Quantitative strand

Four LIPSTA periods with nursing (n=7), medicine (n=4), and physiotherapy (n=2) students

– Qualitative strand

Interviews with students (n=10) and supervisors, professionals and management (n=8)

Categories:

Interprofessional competencies

Learning outcomes based on Kirkpatrick Model (Kirkpatrick & Kirkpatrick 2021)

Context factors based on LIPSTA structures and procedures

Role as supervisor*

*Only interviews with professionals



- Quantitative strand

Four LIPSTA periods with nursing (n=7), medicine (n=4), and physiotherapy (n=2) students

– Qualitative strand

Interviews with students (n=10) and supervisors, professionals and management (n=8)

Categories:

Interprofessional competencies

Learning outcomes based on Kirkpatrick Model (Kirkpatrick & Kirkpatrick 2021)

Context factors based on LIPSTA structures and procedures

Role as supervisor*

*Only interviews with professionals



Satisfaction (Kirkpatrick level 1)

600/

000/

1000/

100/

		0% 20%	40%	60%	80%	100%
(High) satisfaction of students with the LIPSTA placement	Overall satisfaction LIPSTA	7			6	
	Feedback rules and culture		10		1	2
	Supervision	7			6	
	Introduction days	4		9		
	Interprofessional ward round	2	7		3	1
	Feedback after interprofessional ward rounds	1	7		1	3
	Supervision of professionals (medicine)	6		2 1	2	2
Figure 1 Students' satisfaction with LIPSTA components	 1 = Very satisfied 2 = Satisfied 4 = Dissatisfied 5 = Very 	sfied y dissatisfied	■3 = Pa	rtly (dis-)s	atisfied	l

<u>∩0/</u>

200/

"Basically, I was very, very satisfied with the placement. I have to say: for me, it was the best practical placement I've had in my entire study period. Because I thought we were totally well integrated into the team and were really perceived as full members of the team." (Nursing student)



- Gain in autonomy
- Self-confidence in interaction with patients, relatives and within interprofessional team
- Specific knowledge in the field of haematology and oncology

"I had the feeling that people felt much more responsible because they were looking after their own patients and had gained a lot of knowledge as a results, made a lot of effort for the patients and somehow the communication between the healthcare professionals was much better." (medical supervisor)



Figure 2 Results of the Interprofessional Socialization and Valuing Scale (ISVS)

The higher the better.



- Continuing autonomy in healthcare practice
- Maintaining communication with other healthcare professionals in an understandable way

"[...] monoprofessional, the [knowledge gain] is very high. Because you (...) had your own patients, who you were responsible for and cared for very intensively, from admission to discharge. And this is not realised in the same way on other wards. Unless you ask for it. But you can't expect what you don't know." (medical student)



- LIPSTA model proved to be feasible in one clinical department in oncology
- Increased competencies for interprofessional collaboration from students' and supervisors' perspective
- Integration of IPE in curricula of healthcare professionals (especially clinical placements)

Next steps

- Integration of patient-relevant outcomes and patient-reported experiences
- Implementation of LIPSTA components in other departments



Thank you!

Contact

Frederike Lüth, M.Sc. University Cancer Center Schleswig-Holstein University Hospital of Schleswig-Holstein Phone: +49 451 500 18517 E-mail: frederike.lueth@uksh.de



The authors would like to acknowledge the engagement of the interprofessional team in the department of haematology and oncology (ward A.121) in the development and implementation of the LIPSTA. The authors would like to thank the Department of General Practice and Health Services Research, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany for the right to use the German version of the ISVS.



- Girard MA. Interprofessional education and collaborative practice policies and law: an international review and reflective questions. Hum Resour Health. 2021 Jan 7;19(1):9. doi: 10.1186/s12960-020-00549-w.
- Kirkpatrick J, Kirkpatrick WK. An introduction to the New World Kirkpatrick Model. 2021. USA: Kirkpatrick Partners.
- Mahler C, Orchard C, Berger S, Krisam J, Mink J, Krug K, King G. Translation and psychometric properties of the German version of the "Interprofessional Socialization and Valuing Scale" (ISVS-21-D). J Interprof Care. 2023 Jul-Aug;37(4):655-661. doi: 10.1080/13561820.2022.2115024.

Mayring P (2015). Grundlagen und Techniken. Weinheim & Basel: Beltz.

Oosterom N, Floren LC, Ten Cate O, Westerveld HE. A review of interprofessional training wards: Enhancing student learning and patient outcomes. Med Teach. 2019 May;41(5):547-554. doi: 10.1080/0142159X.2018.1503410.